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Brief overview of two papers:

- Hella, Järvisalo, Kuusisto, Laurinharju, Lempiäinen, Luosto, Suomela and Virtema:
  **Weak models of distributed computing, with connections to modal logic**
  PODC 2012, *Distributed Computing* 2015

- Lempiäinen:
  **Ability to count messages is worth $\Theta(\Delta)$ rounds in distributed computing**
  LICS 2016
The model of computation

A simple finite undirected graph, whose each node is a deterministic state machine that
- runs the same algorithm,
- can communicate with its neighbours,
- produces a local output.
The model of computation

A simple finite undirected graph, whose each node is a deterministic state machine that
- runs the same algorithm,
- can communicate with its neighbours,
- produces a local output.

Anonymous nodes ⇒ a weak model of computation.
Communication in synchronous rounds

In every round, each node $v$

1. sends messages to its neighbours,
2. receives messages from its neighbours,
3. updates its state.
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Communication in synchronous rounds

In every round, each node $v$

1. sends messages to its neighbours,
2. receives messages from its neighbours,
3. updates its state.

Eventually, each node halts and announces its own local output.
Focus on communication, not computation

The running time of an algorithm is the *number of communications rounds*.

The running time may depend on two parameters:

- the maximum degree of the graph, $\Delta$,
- the number of nodes, $n$. 

$\Delta = 3$
$n = 6$
We study graph problems where

- the problem instance is the communication graph $G = (V, E)$,
- a solution is a mapping $S : V \rightarrow Y$ from nodes to local outputs.
We study *graph problems* where

- the problem instance is the communication graph \( G = (V, E) \),
- a solution is a mapping \( S : V \rightarrow Y \) from nodes to local outputs.

Often the solution is an encoding of a subset of vertices or edges of the graph.

One typical example is the *minimum vertex cover*.
PODC 2012: seven variants of the model

Options for sending messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),

Node \( v \) sends a vector \((a, c, b)\).
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Options for sending messages:

- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- broadcast the same message to all neighbours (B).

Node $v$ broadcasts message $a$. 
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Options for sending messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
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Options for receiving messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),

Node $v$ receives a vector $(a, b, a)$. 
Options for sending messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- broadcast the same message to all neighbours (B).

Options for receiving messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- receive a multiset of messages (M),
- receive a set of messages (S).

Node $v$ receives a multiset $\{a, a, b\}$. 
Options for sending messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- broadcast the same message to all neighbours (B).

Options for receiving messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- receive a multiset of messages (M),
- receive a set of messages (S).

Node $v$ receives a set $\{a, b\}$. 

PODC 2012: seven variants of the model

Options for sending messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- broadcast the same message to all neighbours (B).

Options for receiving messages:
- a port number for each neighbour (V),
- receive a multiset of messages (M),
- receive a set of messages (S).

We can require the outgoing and incoming port numbers to be consistent ⇒ the port-numbering model ($VV_c$).
Theorem

\[ \mathbf{SV} \subsetneq \mathbf{MB} = \mathbf{VB} \subsetneq \mathbf{SV} = \mathbf{MV} = \mathbf{VV} \subsetneq \mathbf{VV}_c. \]
Theorem

\[ SB \subsetneq MB = VB \subsetneq SV = MV = VV \subsetneq VV_c. \]
The constant-time variant of each of the seven complexity classes can be characterised by a modal logic such that there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between algorithms and modal formulas.

Example: graded modal logic (GML),

\[ \phi := q^n | (\phi \land \phi) | \neg \phi | \lozenge \phi, \lozenge \lozenge \geq k \phi, \]

where \( q^n \) are proposition symbols and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

\[ G, v \models q^n \iff \deg(v) = n, \]

\[ G, v \models \lozenge \lozenge \geq k \phi \iff \{ w \in V : (v, w) \in E \text{ and } G, w \models \phi \} \geq k. \]
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The constant-time variant of each of the seven complexity classes can be characterised by a modal logic such that there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between algorithms and modal formulas.

Example: graded modal logic (GML),

\[ \varphi := q_n \mid (\varphi \land \varphi) \mid \neg \varphi \mid \Diamond \varphi \mid \Diamond \geq k \varphi, \]

where \( q_n \) are proposition symbols and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

\( G, v \models q_n \) iff degree(\( v \)) = \( n \),

\( G, v \models \Diamond \geq k \varphi \) iff \( \left| \{ w \in V : (v, w) \in E \text{ and } G, w \models \varphi \} \right| \geq k \).
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The constant-time variant of each of the seven complexity classes can be characterised by a modal logic such that there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between algorithms and modal formulas.

Example: graded modal logic (GML),

$$\varphi := q_n | (\varphi \land \varphi) | \neg \varphi | \lozenge \varphi, | \lozenge \geq k \varphi,$$

where $q_n$ are proposition symbols and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$G, v \models q_n \iff \text{degree}(v) = n,$$
$$G, v \models \lozenge \geq k \varphi \iff \left| \{ w \in V : (v, w) \in E \text{ and } G, w \models \varphi \} \right| \geq k.$$

GML corresponds to the complexity class MB (receive a multiset, send by broadcasting).
In each variant of modal logic, one can characterise definability by a variant of bisimulation.

A nonempty relation $Z \subseteq V \times V'$ is a *graded bisimulation* between $G = (V, E, \tau)$ and $G' = (V', E', \tau')$ if the following conditions hold.

1. If $(v, v') \in Z$, then $v \in \tau(q_n)$ iff $v' \in \tau'(q_n)$ for each $q_n$.
2. If $(v, v') \in Z$ and $X \subseteq E(v)$, then there is a set $X' \subseteq E'(v')$ such that $|X'| = |X|$ and for each $w' \in X'$ there is a $w \in X$ with $(w, w') \in Z$.
3. If $(v, v') \in Z$ and $X' \subseteq E'(v')$, then there is a set $X \subseteq E(v)$ such that $|X| = |X'|$ and for each $w \in X$ there is a $w' \in X'$ with $(w, w') \in Z$.

We use bisimulation to derive the separation results between the complexity classes.
The relationship of MV and SV

The simulation results used to show the equivalence of complexity classes do not increase the running time, except for one:

**Theorem (PODC 2012)**

Assume that there is an MV-algorithm $A$ that solves a problem $\Pi$ in time $T$. Then there is an SV-algorithm $B$ that solves $\Pi$ in time $T + 2\Delta - 2$.

Is this result tight?
LICS 2016: the simulation overhead is tight

**Theorem**

For each $\Delta \geq 2$ there is a port-numbered graph $G_\Delta$ with nodes $u, v, w$ such that when executing any $SV$-algorithm $A$ in $G_\Delta$, $u$ receives identical messages from its neighbours $v$ and $w$ in rounds $1, 2, \ldots, 2\Delta - 2$.

We can also separate the models by a graph problem:

**Theorem**

There is a graph problem $\Pi$ that can be solved in one round by an $MV$-algorithm but that requires at least $\Delta - 1$ rounds for all $\Delta \geq 2$, when solved by an $SV$-algorithm.
Example: separating SV and MV

Output 1 if there is an even number of neighbours of even degree, 0 otherwise.
Generalisation: graph $G_\Delta$ (here $\Delta = 4$)

The blue nodes are bisimilar up to the distance $2\Delta - 2$. 
We defined seven complexity classes and characterised the containment relations.

Each constant-time class corresponds to a variant of modal logic.

Only in one case there is overhead in simulating a stronger model by a weaker one, and that overhead is unavoidable.
Conclusion

- We defined seven complexity classes and characterised the containment relations.
- Each constant-time class corresponds to a variant of modal logic.
- Only in one case there is overhead in simulating a stronger model by a weaker one, and that overhead is unavoidable.

Thanks! Questions?