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1. Introduction to distributed computing
2. Different models of computation
3. New result: a tight lower bound for simulating one model in another (by using bisimulation)
Distributed system

A simple finite undirected graph, whose each node is a deterministic state machine that

- runs the same algorithm,
- can communicate with its neighbours,
- produces a local output.
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Anonymous nodes ⇒ a weak model of computation.
Communication in synchronous rounds

In every round, each node \( v \)

1. sends messages to its neighbours,
2. receives messages from its neighbours,
3. updates its state.
Communication in synchronous rounds

In every round, each node $v$

1. sends messages to its neighbours,
2. receives messages from its neighbours,
3. updates its state.

Eventually, each node halts and announces its own local output.
In every round, each node $v$
1. sends messages to its neighbours,
2. receives messages from its neighbours,
3. updates its state.
Communication in synchronous rounds

In every round, each node $v$

1. sends messages to its neighbours,
2. receives messages from its neighbours,
3. updates its state.

Eventually, each node halts and announces its own local output.
Focus on communication, not computation

The running time of an algorithm is the number of communications rounds.

The running time may depend on two parameters:
- the maximum degree of the graph, $\Delta$,
- the number of nodes, $n$. 
Options for sending messages:

- a port number for each neighbour,
- broadcast the same message to all neighbours.
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A hierarchy of models

Hella et al. (PODC 2012):

$$SB \subsetneq MB = VB \subsetneq SV = MV = VV \subsetneq VV_c.$$
Graph problems

We study *graph problems* where

- problem instance is the communication graph $G = (V, E)$,
- the local outputs together define a solution $S : V \rightarrow Y$, where $Y$ is a finite set of local outputs.
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We study graph problems where

- problem instance is the communication graph \( G = (V, E) \),
- the local outputs together define a solution \( S: V \rightarrow Y \), where \( Y \) is a finite set of local outputs.

Algorithm \( \mathcal{A} \) solves problem \( \Pi \) in time \( T \) if for all input graphs \( G \) of maximum degree at most \( \Delta \):

1. \( \mathcal{A} \) stops after at most \( T(\Delta, n) \) rounds in each node of \( G \).

2. The output \( S \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) in \( G \) is a valid solution for \( \Pi \).
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Often the solution $S : V \rightarrow Y$ is an encoding of a subset of vertices or edges of the graph.

Example problems:
- minimum vertex cover,
- maximal matching.

$Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$
The models MV and SV

Node \( v \) sends a vector \((a, c, b)\).
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The models MV and SV

Node $v$ sends a vector $(a, c, b)$.

Node $v$ receives
- a multiset $\{a, a, b\}$ in model MV,
- a set $\{a, b\}$ in model SV.

Formally, MV and SV denote the classes of graph problems solvable in the corresponding models.
The relationship of MV and SV

Trivially $SV \subseteq MV$.

Hella, Järvisalo, Kuusisto, Laurinharju, L., Luosto, Suomela, Virtema (PODC 2012):

**Theorem**

Assume that there is an MV-algorithm $A$ that solves a problem $\Pi$ in time $T$. Then there is an SV-algorithm $B$ that solves $\Pi$ in time $T + 2\Delta - 2$.

It follows that $SV = MV$. 
Idea behind the previous theorem

First, solve the following simulation problem by an SV-algorithm:

If $p_1 = p_2$, then $\text{label}(v) \neq \text{label}(w)$.

Now the pair 

$$(\text{label}, \text{port number})$$

is distinct for each neighbour.

Then, simulate the MV-algorithm by attaching the above pair to each message.
Overhead required to simulate MV in SV

PODC 2012: The previous problem can be solved in $2\Delta - 2$ communication rounds.

Is this result tight?
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PODC 2012: The previous problem can be solved in $2\Delta - 2$ communication rounds.

Is this result tight?

This work: YES

**Theorem**

*For each $\Delta \geq 2$ there is a port-numbered graph $G_\Delta$ with nodes $u, v, w$ such that when executing any SV-algorithm $A$ in $G_\Delta$, $u$ receives identical messages from its neighbours $v$ and $w$ in rounds $1, 2, \ldots, 2\Delta - 2$.***
We can also separate the models by a graph problem:

**Theorem**

There is a graph problem $\Pi$ that can be solved in one round by an MV-algorithm but that requires at least $\Delta - 1$ rounds for all $\Delta \geq 2$, when solved by an SV-algorithm.
Example: separating SV and MV

Output 1 if there is an even number of neighbours of even degree, 0 otherwise.
Generalisation: graph $G_{\Delta}$ (here $\Delta = 4$)
Proof idea

1. Investigate walks that start from the blue nodes and follow an identical sequence of port numbers.
   
   a. In which cases we cannot extend the walks in a consistent manner?
   
   b. What is the length of such maximal walks?

2. Prove a lower bound for the length of the walks.

3. Show that the lower bound on walks implies bisimilarity of the blue nodes up to a certain distance.

4. Bisimilarity entails a lower bound for the running time of any distributed algorithm that is able to distinguish the nodes.
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1. Investigate walks that start from the blue nodes and follow an identical sequence of port numbers.
   1. In which cases we cannot extend the walks in a consistent manner?
   2. What is the length of such maximal walks?

2. Prove a lower bound for the length of the walks.

3. Show that the lower bound on walks implies \textit{bisimilarity} of the blue nodes up to a certain distance.

4. Bisimilarity entails a lower bound for the running time of any distributed algorithm that is able to distinguish the nodes.
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Connections to modal logic
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- Logical characterisations for constant-time variants of the problem classes.
- In a certain class of structures, SV corresponds to multimodal logic
- ... and MV corresponds to graded multimodal logic.
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Hella et al. (PODC 2012):

- Logical characterisations for constant-time variants of the problem classes.
- In a certain class of structures, SV corresponds to multimodal logic.
- ... and MV corresponds to graded multimodal logic.
- Our result: When given a formula $\phi$ of graded multimodal logic, we can find an equivalent formula $\psi$ of multimodal logic, but in general, the modal depth $\text{md}(\psi)$ of $\psi$ has to be at least $\text{md}(\phi) + \Delta - 1$. 
Conclusion

**MV:** Send a vector, receive a multiset.

**SV:** Send a vector, receive a set.

Previously:
- It is possible to simulate MV in SV by using $2\Delta - 2$ extra rounds.

This work:
- $2\Delta - 2$ rounds are *necessary*.
- Linear-in-$\Delta$ separation by a graph problem.
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