Know Your Boundaries:

Constraining Gaussian Processes by Variational Harmonic Features

Arno Solin

Aalto University arno.solin@aalto.fi

Manon Kok

Delft University of Technology m.kok-1@tudelft.nl

INTRODUCTION

- Gaussian processes (GPs) provide a powerful framework for extrapolation, interpolation, and noise removal in regression and classification
- We constrain GPs to arbitrarily-shaped domains with boundary conditions
- Applications in, e.g., imaging, spatial analysis, robotics, or general ML tasks
- As a pre-processing step, we solve a Fourier-like generalised harmonic feature representation of the GP prior in the domain of interest
- ► This both constrains the GP and attains a low-rank



- representation that is used for speeding up inference
- The method scales as O(nm²) in prediction and O(m³) in hyperparameter learning (n number of data, m features)
- A Titsias-style variational approach to allow the method to deal with non-Gaussian likelihoods

MODEL

- Consider a boundaryconstrained GP model of form:
 - $\begin{cases} f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \operatorname{GP}(0, \kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \text{s.t. } f(\mathbf{x}) = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega \\ \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i \mid f(\mathbf{x}_i)) & \text{likelihood} \end{cases}$
 - where (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) are the *n* input–output pairs
- Given a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (*d* typically 1–3), we follow [3] for projecting the GP onto the eigenbasis of the Laplace operator, ∇^2 , that solves the eigenvalue problem:

 $\begin{cases} -\nabla^2 \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_j^2 \phi_j(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \\ \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}, & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$

The approximate eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian in Ω (s.t. the the boundary conditions) can be solved numerically (see Fig. 3).

- function κ to be stationary
- Leverage [3] for approximating the covariance function by the eigendecomposition and the spectral density function:

 $\kappa(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') \approx \sum_{j=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{s}(\lambda_j) \, \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \, \phi_j(\mathbf{x}') = \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}},$

where $s(\cdot)$ is the spectral density function of $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$

- As Φ does not depend on the hyperparameters and
 Λ is diagonal, we also get a computational boost
- For non-Gaussian likelihoods, we set up a variational approach and maximize the ELBO [2]
 - We demonstrate the applicability of our method to both GP regression (Fig. 1) and classification (Fig. 4) on simulated data, where we show that encoding boundary information by this approach is beneficial (Fig. 2)
 - We also consider a Log-Gaussian Cox process (Poisson likelihood) study of tick bite count modelling

REFERENCES

[1] A. Solin and M. Kok (2019). Know your boundaries: Constraining Gaussian processes by variational harmonic features. *Proceedings of AISTATS*.
 [2] J. Hensman, N. Durrande, and A. Solin (2018). Variational Fourier features for Coursing processes and the second second



(c) Harmonic basis functions

features for Gaussian processes. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18(151):1–52.

[3] A. Solin and S. Särkkä (submitted). Hilbert Space Methods for Reduced-Rank Gaussian Process Regression. arXiv:1401.5508



Fig. 2: Effect of increasing the number of inducing inputs/features in Fig. 1.

Fig. 3: Example domain (a) for which we can numerically compute the harmonic basis functions, $\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$, (c) using the sparse stencil matrix (b).

 $2000 \ 4000 \ 6000$

(b) Sparse stencil matrix

0



Fig. 4: Increasing the number of inducing features for the **banana classification dataset** with a hard decision boundary. The coloured points represent training data and the decision boundaries are black lines. The outermost line is the pre-defined hard decision boundary.