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The adsorption and dissociation of water molecules on two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) is expected to be dominated by point defects, such as vacancies, and edges. At the same time, the role of grain boundaries, and particularly, mirror twin boundaries (MTBs), whose concentration in TMDs can be quite high, is not fully understood. Using density functional theory calculations, we investigate the interaction of water, hydroxyl groups, as well as oxygen and hydrogen molecules with MoSe$_2$ monolayers when MTBs of various types are present. We show that the adsorption of all species on MTBs is energetically favorable as compared to that on the basal plane of pristine MoSe$_2$, but the interaction with Se vacancies is stronger. We further assess the energetics of various surface chemical reactions involving oxygen and hydrogen atoms. Our results indicate that water dissociation on the basal plane should be dominated by vacancies even when MTBs are present, but they facilitate water clustering through hydroxyl groups at MTBs, which can anchor water molecules and give rise to the decoration of MTBs with water clusters. Also, the presence of MTBs affects oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) on the MoSe$_2$ monolayer. Unlike Se vacancies which inhibit ORR due to a high overpotential, it is found that the ORR process on MTBs is more efficient, indicating their important role in the catalytic activity of MoSe$_2$ monolayer and likely other TMDs.

1 Introduction

Due to their diverse electronic, optical, magnetic, and catalytic properties, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are currently among the most intensively studied two-dimensional (2D) materials. The diversity in their properties stems not only from various possible chemical compositions of TMDs, but also from the possibility to exist in different phases and strong dependence of the electronic structure on the number of layers. Moreover, the 2D nature of these systems enables modification of their properties by defects which can easily be introduced during or after the growth by chemical treatment or irradiation, see ref. 15 and 16 for an overview.

Not only point, but also line defects have been reported to exist in TMDs. Among them, mirror twin boundaries (MTBs) of various types with relatively low formation energies have been found. Moreover, contrary to serpentine or low-angle grain boundaries, which appear during the growth when domains with arbitrary crystal orientation coalesce, the formation of straight MTBs is energetically favorable in non-stoichiometric chalcogen-deficient TMDs. Indeed, their appearance in originally pristine TMD samples has been observed under electron irradiation, which created chalcogen vacancies or Mo deposition at moderate temperatures. Most important, their concentration can be very high, and to some extent, controlled using the post-synthesis approaches described above.

TMDs, which are considered as an alternative to expensive Pt-based materials, have received a considerable amount of attention in the context of catalysis, for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), which is one of the most important processes in proton exchange membrane fuel cells. Edges of TMDs have been shown to be catalytically active but unless flakes of small sizes are used, their concentration is naturally relatively low. Thus possible activation of the inert basal planes of TMDs has been studied. In particular, substitutional doping with P, N, O, Cu was proposed to be a method for activating the basal plane for ORR via enhancement of oxygen molecule adsorption. However, this approach still requires the incorporation of impurities into the 2D materials during the growth or via a post-growth treatment. In addition, point defects like vacancies can create active sites for ORR electrocatalytic activity as shown for WTe$_2$. Due to their metallic properties, MTBs can potentially be active sites for the
ORR process. However, the catalytic performance of ORR on these defects, which is critical for catalysis, has not been investigated so far. Moreover, the dissociation/association of water molecules and the behavior of their derivatives (hydroxyl groups and isolated O/H atoms) on TMDs with MTBs has not been addressed, contrary to that on basal planes and edges, while knowing the energetics of these processes is important not only for assessing the catalytic properties but also for understanding the stability of TMDs at ambient conditions and clustering of water molecules at the surface.

Here, using first-principles calculations, we theoretically study the interaction of water, hydroxyl groups, as well as hydrogen and oxygen atoms with MTBs of various types in MoSe$_2$ monolayer, a typical TMD. As a reference, we also study the interaction of these species with vacancies and pristine basal plane. The efficiency of ORR on the MTBs is investigated as well.

2 Methods

Spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with PBE exchange-correlation functional,$^{46}$ as implemented in the VASP code.$^{47-48}$ A plane-wave cut-off of 450 eV was used in all the calculations. A vacuum space of around 20 Å was considered in the confinement directions to avoid the spurious inter-layer interaction. The full geometry optimizations were carried out with the force tolerance being set to 0.01 eV Å$^{-1}$. The Brillouin zone of the supercells was sampled using gamma point approximation. The calculations include a dispersion correction scheme (DFT-D2)$^{49}$ for the effects of long-range vdW interactions. Calculations with a more accurate vdW exchange-correlation functional based on the Tkatchenko and Scheffler (DFT-TS) method$^{50}$ were also carried out for test systems. The structural models include nanoribbons of MoSe$_2$ with maximum number of 590 atoms, corresponding to 5 × 20 unit cells of an ideal lattice in a rectangular representation. The adsorption energy ($E_{ads}$) of the adsorbate on the surface is defined as:

$$E_{ads} = E_{slab+adsorbate} - (E_{slab} + E_{adsorbate})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the first term denotes the total energy of the slab with adsorbate, and the second and third terms represent the energy of the isolated slab and the isolated adsorbate, respectively.

The ORR reaction involves the trapping of adsorbate on a surface immersed in an electrolyte solution followed by a series of intermediate reactions$^{51-53}$ eventually resulting in the reduction of O$_2$ to H$_2$O. Here, we employ the computational hydrogen electrode model (CHE) proposed in ref. 53 to describe the Gibbs free energy difference $\Delta G$ of each ORR elementary steps. $\Delta G$ is expressed as:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta ZPE - T \Delta S + \Delta G_U + \Delta G_{pH}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\Delta E$ represents the change in the internal energy, $\Delta ZPE$ is the change in the vibrational zero-point energy, $T$ is the temperature (298.15 K), $\Delta S$ is the entropy difference, $\Delta G_U$ and $\Delta G_{pH}$ are the contribution from electrode potential and pH of the solution. The reaction energy, ZPE and entropy change of the intermediate adsorbate stages can be directly calculated from the corresponding DFT and vibrational calculations. The entropy is derived by calculating the vibrational entropy using harmonic approximation on the vibrational frequencies as input. However, the ZPE energies and entropies of liquid H$_2$O and gaseous H$_2$ are more complex, including the contributions from vibrations, rotations, and translations of molecules; fortunately these can be obtained from the thermodynamic NIST-JANAF tables.$^{34}$ The last two energy terms in eqn (2), for solution acidity ($\Delta G_{pH} = k_B T \ln (10 \times \rho H)$ and electrode potential ($\Delta G_U = -qU, q = e$ here) needs to be considered only for the protonation steps.

The ORR process in acidic involves a 4e$^-$ associate or a 2e$^-$ dissociative mechanism to reduce oxygen molecule to water. The major reaction pathway for 4e$^-$ process employs:$^{55}$

$$^*O_2 + H^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow ^*OOH$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$^*OOH + H^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow ^*O + H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$^*O + H^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow ^*OH$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$^*OH + H^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where * implies the substrate with the respective adsorbate. In principle, the adsorption of molecular O$_2$ on the surface should also be included, but this is viewed as a chemical step and the steady-state adsorption of such neutral species does not contribute to the potential dependence of the faradaic current. The 2e$^-$ dissociative mechanism involves adsorption of oxygen onto the substrate followed by step 5 and step 6. The presence of a finite electrode potential in the calculation of FED is due to the spontaneous charging of the surface during the ORR process. Thus, in this study we use $U = 1.23$ V at pH = 0 (acid solution). The detailed ORR equations are provided in the ESL.$^\dagger$

3 Result and discussion

3.1 Adsorption of water

To get a microscopic insight into the interaction of adsorbents on TMDs, the reactions on the pristine MoSe$_2$ sheets and those with MTBs were investigated. We considered three possible Mo-rich grain boundaries which are always lower in energy than Se-rich grain boundaries in the wide range of the chemical potential in TMDs.$^{45}$ In the 44 P and 44 E MTBs, the structure includes 4-fold rings that share a point at the Se site or edge (Mo–Se bond). The structure of the 55 8 MTB contains two 5-fold rings adjacent to the 8-fold ring.

We first determined the atomic configurations of water adsorption on pristine MoSe$_2$. To identify the active sites for water adsorption, various initial molecule orientations on the top of the basal plane are investigated, see Fig. 1 and S1 in the ESL.$^\dagger$ In the most stable configuration, the H$_2$O molecule is located = 2.6 Å (the distance between the lower atom of the
molecule and the uppermost Se atoms) above the center of the hexagon with the H atom pointing to the Se atoms of the monolayer. This is due to the electrostatic interaction between positively charged H atoms in water (+0.65 e) between and negatively charged Se plane, in agreement with the previous reports.55

The interaction of water with the basal plan of pristine MoSe$_2$ is mainly physisorption with an adsorption energy of $\approx -0.18$ eV at the DFT-D2 level. Calculations using a more accurate DFT-TS method provided an adsorption energy of $\approx -0.15$ eV. These values are consistent with the earlier theoretical reports$^{56}$ of water adsorption on MoS$_2$, indicating that perfect TMD monolayers have a hydrophobic character due to the chemically inert surface with saturated chalcogen ions. Similarly, in the case of a single Se vacancy, the small size of the defect does not permit the molecule to interact with positively charged Mo atoms causing a weak adsorption energy of $\approx -0.16$ eV.

Contrary to edges or vacancies, the MTBs are devoid of undercoordinated atoms at the surface of the TMD monolayer. As a result, the adsorption energies for water molecules on top of MTBs differ only slightly ($\approx 0.05$ eV) in comparison to the pristine monolayer, as evident from Fig. 1. Fig. 1(b)–(d) illustrate the energetically favorable adsorption configurations of water molecule on various MTBs. It is found that the adsorption energy on the 55|8 is the lowest followed by the 44|E and 44|P MTB. Unlike the adsorption configuration on the 44|E and 44|P MTBs, the plane of the molecule is almost parallel to the MoSe$_2$ basal plane and located on top of the 55|8 MTB. The effect can be attributed to the presence of Mo–Mo bonds in the structure which are positively charged. Since MTBs have metallic states at the Fermi level$^{22,39-41}$ and additional charges can be localized in these structures, we also investigated the charging effects on the adsorption energy in 44|P MTB. It is found that extra electrons or holes (with a linear density of 0.05 e nm$^{-1}$) have minor effects on the adsorption energy on the 44|P MTB as evident from Fig. 1(e). The possible reason is that the Mo atomic layer is sandwiched between two Se atomic layers, and the extra charge mainly accumulated at Mo atoms is screened by Se atoms. It should be mentioned that in the case of additional positive charges, water molecules prefer adsorption in the pristine areas over that on 44|P MTBs.

### 3.2 Adsorption of hydroxyl groups

Fig. 2(a–d) show the lowest-energy configurations for hydroxyl groups adsorbed on the pristine basal plane, vacancy and various MTBs. The high chemical activity of OH as compared to that of H$_2$O originates from the difference in electronic structures of the molecules. The gap between the highest occupied (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO) of hydroxyl is 1.53 eV which is significantly smaller than that of a water molecule (6.20 eV). Unlike water, HOMO and LUMO of hydroxyl are not center-symmetrical and are localized more on the oxygen than on the hydrogen atom. Accordingly, the interaction of hydroxyl with the basal plane of the monolayer is...
stronger than for a water molecule. We found that the position of OH is energetically most preferable on top of the nearest-neighbor Se atoms next to the MTB. The adsorbed hydroxyl is oriented in such a way that the O atom is facing the Se atoms. Fig. 2(f) presents adsorption energies on different MTBs, which are very close to each other, with a difference of only ~0.1 eV. The difference between adsorption energy at MTB to the pristine part is about 0.7 eV, as also illustrated in Fig. 2(f). This gives rise to a preferential adsorption of OH groups on MTBs. Moreover, the two spin-polarized LUMO states of the OH molecule are below the Fermi level, indicating a charge transfer from the MoSe$_2$ to the adsorbent, as seen in Fig. 2(f). In order to rationalize the strong molecule–MTB interaction, we also analyzed the electronic structure of the system after the adsorption of the molecule. Bader analysis was used to assess the charge transfer between molecules and the substrate. The hydroxyl group creates a strong bond by transferring charge from the MTB to the hydroxyl group. Since MTBs are metallic, the charge transfer is larger as compared to the pristine system. In the case of a single vacancy, the anchoring atom i.e. oxygen, occupy the vacancy forming a strong bond with Mo atoms. The adsorption energy at vacancy sites is lower than that on MTBs by ~2 eV.

### 3.3 Adsorption of oxygen

Next, we explored the adsorption of an O atom on the MoSe$_2$ monolayer. It is known that point defects in TMDs, such as S-vacancies, can be active sites for dissociation of O$_2$ molecules.$^8$ Our calculations indicate that one needs 3.04 eV per atom for an isolated O$_2$ molecule to dissociate. Thus, in agreement with the results of earlier studies,$^9$ it is energetically unfavorable for the molecule to dissociate on pristine MoSe$_2$ monolayer, as evident from Fig. 3(f), but the dissociation is exothermic on a vacancy, assuming that one O atoms takes the substitutional position and forms strong covalent bonds with the three adjacent Mo atoms, and the other is adsorbed on the surface. After dissociation, the other O atoms can diffuse to the surrounding area on the sample surface. Therefore, it is imperative to explore the interaction of an adsorbed O atom with MTBs in the MoSe$_2$ monolayer. We found that the oxygen atom prefers to sit on top of the chalcogen atom as shown in Fig. 3(a–c). The only exception is the 55|8 MTB, where oxygen atom is adsorbed in the middle of the Mo–Mo stripe, Fig. 3(d). The adsorption energy of the oxygen atom is naturally lower than that of the water molecule as the atom is chemisorbed on the surface. As compared to hydroxyl, the lower adsorption energies indicate stronger interaction of oxygen with MoSe$_2$. The strong interaction with the 55|8 MTB can also be

---

**Fig. 2** Hydroxyl adsorption on MoSe$_2$ monolayer. (a)–(d) Atomic structures of 2D MoSe$_2$ with adsorbed hydroxyl radicals (top and side views). From left-to-right, the panels represent the lowest energy configurations of adsorbed hydroxyl groups in the pristine area and on the 44|P, 44|E and 55|8 MTBs, respectively. (f) Adsorption energy of hydroxyl on top of MoSe$_2$ in the pristine area, vacancy and next to the MTBs. (e) Schematic representation of the electronic structure of MoSe$_2$ with various MTBs and isolated OH group.
deduced from the charge transfer picture given in Fig. 3. In addition, the adsorption of the $O_2$ molecule on the $55|8$ MTB was studied. The adsorption energy of $-0.090$ eV was found. From Fig. S3,† by comparing the adsorption energies of the $O_2$ molecule and two $O$ atoms, it is clear that the adsorbed molecule may spontaneously dissociate to form two adsorbed $O$ atoms and releasing energy of $0.86$ eV.

Fig. 3(f) presents a comparison of adsorption energies at different MTBs. Among all the MTBs, the $55|8$ MTB shows the lowest adsorption energy, $-3.4$ eV, which corresponds to the strongest interaction between $O$ and surface. Unlike OH adsorption, the difference in $O$ adsorption energy between pristine and on the $44|P$ or $44|E$ MTBs is small $\approx 0.1$ eV. The adsorption energy on the $55|8$ MTB is $\approx 0.6$ eV lower than for the pristine sheet, suggesting preferential adsorption of $O$ at the MTB. Besides, the adsorption of $O$ is strongly influenced by presence of Se vacancies. The adsorption energy at vacancy sites is larger in comparison to MTB by $\approx 4$ eV.

**3.4 Adsorption of hydrogen**

We further examined the energetics of adsorbed H atoms on the pristine MoSe$_2$ monolayer. The calculations indicate that H atoms in the interstitial configuration are energetically more favorable by $0.72$ eV than adatom configurations. Using NEB method, we calculated the energy barrier along the path between adatom configuration in the hollow site and the corresponding interstitial position, as shown in Fig. 5. The data indicates that the transition between two configurations requires overcoming an energy barrier of ca. $0.45$ eV. As compared to MoS$_2$, the larger primitive cell of MoSe$_2$ provide more free space for H in the interstitial position. Similar behavior has been reported for H$_2$ and transition metal atoms adsorbed on MoTe$_2$. The lowest-energy position of H atoms in the Mo-atom plane indicates that H permeability of MoSe$_2$ should be quite different from that of MoS$_2$.

The strongest binding of an H atom to the $44|P$ and $44|E$ MTBs occurs at the center of the hexagon next to the MTB. For the $55|8$ MTB, however, H prefers to be embedded into the 8-fold ring of the MTB. Nevertheless, the adsorption energies of H atoms were found to be very similar for all types of MTBs. The selective adsorption of hydrogen can be attributed to the states available at the Fermi level. Similar to edge defects previously studied, there are many sharp peaks near the Fermi level, indicating the existence of many free electrons that can readily
redistribute under any chemical perturbation leading to selective adsorption. The calculated adsorption energies of H, Fig. 4(f) indicate that Se vacancy is the most favourable adsorption site, followed by MTBs. We further analyzed the charge transfer from substrate MoSe$_2$ to H atom. The results are presented in 4(e). H adsorption on Se vacancy site results in the largest charge transfer due to a strong interaction between the H atom and three adjacent Mo atoms. Moreover, the binding of hydrogen is stronger than that of the hydroxyl group, but weaker than the oxygen atom.

3.5 Water dissociation

Water dissociation is a key issue not only in the green production of hydrogen from water, but also because it plays an essential role in numerous catalytic reactions. The typical procedure for the hydrogen production from water on catalytic surfaces includes the splitting of water into adsorbed H and OH species (H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ H$^+$ + OH$^-$). The adsorbed hydrogen atoms can join to form a molecule. Alternatively, the hydrogen atoms in the water molecule can be directly attached to form a hydrogen molecule thereby the separated O atom is adsorbed on the surface (H$_2$O + $\rightarrow$ O$^+$ + H$_2$). We evaluated the feasibility of these mechanisms that lead to the dissociation of a H$_2$O molecule at MTBs. Assuming that O$_2$ molecules adsorbed on vacancy sites can dissociate and the atoms diffuse on the outer Se plane, the important question is how these O atoms affect the dissociation of water on MoSe$_2$. Here we considered two possible scenarios, as shown in Fig. 6: the dissociation of water on (1) the pristine surface, and (2) the oxidized surface. First, the energy barrier for H$_2$O dissociation to OH radical and H on the pristine surface was evaluated. Our results show that an energy barrier of 2.97 eV is required to be overcome for the dissociation of water on the perfect basal plane, indicating that the direct dissociation is highly unlikely on a pristine MoSe$_2$ monolayer. In the case of MTBs, the dissociation barrier is
reduced, where for instance the lowest barrier of 1.96 eV was found for the 55\text{\textsuperscript{8}} MTB. Similarly, the energy barrier for water dissociation to O atom and hydrogen molecule is lower for MTBs than the pristine sheet. We further investigated the effect of oxidation on the dissociation of the \text{H}_2\text{O} molecule. The presence of oxygen decreases the dissociation energy barrier by 1 eV as compared to the basal plane of the monolayer. In the case of MTBs, the energy barrier is further lowered by about 1 eV reaching to 0.98 eV for the 55\text{\textsuperscript{8}} MTB. Our findings suggest that the 55\text{\textsuperscript{8}} MTBs could be suitable defects for the dissociation of \text{H}_2\text{O} where a strong chemical reaction with OH is accomplished by the interchange of electrons with the adjacent Mo atoms resulting in the separation of H and O atoms.

### 3.6 Oxygen reduction reaction

The first step for ORR process involves the adsorption of oxygen molecules on the electrode. The corresponding structures and energies of the MoSe\textsubscript{2} sheets representing the electrodes are shown in Fig. 7. From calculation it is seen that oxygen molecules prefers to adsorb on vacancies in MoSe\textsubscript{2}. The free-energy diagram for the associative ORR mechanism is shown in Fig. 8. The ORR performance for the pristine system and with MTBs is calculated at equilibrium potential of 1.23 V as mentioned in the method section. The overpotential is the minimum additional energy barrier required to be overcome for the ORR process,\textsuperscript{53} meaning that the higher the overpotential, the more difficult the reaction becomes. With the 4e-process described in Fig. 8, the rate-determining step (RDS) is the protonation of the adsorbed oxygen to *OOH which requires the energy of 1.34 eV in the pristine case. This can be explained due to the weak vdW binding of \text{O}_2 molecule and the strong *OOH binding to the catalyst.\textsuperscript{51,53} Hydrogen peroxide can be formed as an intermediate of ORR only by the 2e\textsuperscript{−} associative mechanism in eqn (4) instead of *O + \text{H}_2\text{O}. Hydrogen peroxide has been detected during ORR, but it remains unclear whether it is a key intermediate of the dominant ORR mechanism or a side-product.\textsuperscript{34} The strong hydroperoxyl adsorption on MoSe\textsubscript{2} as shown in Fig. S2\textsuperscript{†} favours O–O bond dissociation in the adsorbed *OOH. Thus all catalysts with strong interaction between the reaction site and O species could easily dissociate the \text{O}_2 molecule leading to \text{H}_2\text{O} formation, while a too-weak one leads to \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 reaction path.\textsuperscript{54} Here, in this case due to the above mentioned property, a 4e\textsuperscript{−} oxygen reduction to water is preferred. Also, the kinetics for the \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 and \text{H}_2\text{O}/OH pathways is shown in Fig. S4.\textsuperscript{†} Although the determining step is the same as in the ORR process on MTBs, the overpotentials are reduced, following the order 44\text{\textsuperscript{P}} > 55\text{\textsuperscript{8}} > 44\text{\textsuperscript{E}}. Therefore, the ORR process on 44\text{\textsuperscript{E}} MTB is found to be more efficient, with the overpotential of 1.19 eV, in comparison to the pristine \text{Se} vacancy and other investigated MTBs. Our results show that ORR in presence of \text{Se} vacancy follows a 2e\textsuperscript{−} process because of the absence of stable OOH adsorbates and strong adsorption of \text{O}_2 at the vacancy site (Fig. 8(b)).

The overpotential for pristine MoSe\textsubscript{2} is 1.34 V, significantly higher than 0.45 V of Pt electrocatalyst,\textsuperscript{53} 0.47 V of defective \text{Se} vacancy.
graphene, and 0.67 V of N-doped graphene. The features of the Gibbs free energy diagram for MoSe$_2$ are similar to those reported for the defective WTe$_2$. In the case of MTBs, the ORR overpotential is found to be $\approx 1.2$ V indicating better ORR characteristics than at Se vacancy.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, we investigated the adsorption of H$_2$O, OH, O, H and O$_2$ species on monolayer MoSe$_2$. The adsorption of H$_2$O is the weakest, with an adsorption energy ranging from $-0.18$ eV to $-0.20$ eV. On the other hand, adsorption of O is the strongest, with the adsorption energy being in the range of $-2.8$ eV to $-3.4$ eV. It is found that for all the considered adsorbates except for water, the most preferred site of adsorption are Se vacancies. We demonstrated that the adsorbed OH and O species can be used as anchor points for selective adsorption of water at MTBs (55|8 MTB for O adsorption). We further investigated the water dissociation and association on different MTBs. From our calculation, it is evident that energy required for dissociation of water molecules is reduced by $\approx 1.0$ eV as compared to the pristine area when it happens on a 55|8 MTB. With regard to the behavior of O$_2$, calculations show that oxygen prefers to adsorb as dissociated atoms on the 55|8 MTB rather than as a molecule. Moreover, ORR process on pristine MoSe$_2$ and MTBs has lower overpotential than on a Se vacancy, thus indicating better ORR efficiency than at vacancies. Although, based on the results of previous studies, the ORR reactivity at edges is expected to be higher than at MTBs, potentially much higher concentration of MTBs, which can be produced during or after the growth by TM atom deposition or electron beam irradiation, in comparison to edges, suggests that MTBs can have a strong influence on the ORR efficiency of MoSe$_2$ sheets. Overall, our findings provide important insights into the adsorption of water and its derivatives on MoSe$_2$ monolayers with MTBs which should be accounted for when assessing the catalytic performance of this material in electrochemical energy applications.
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1 Oxygen Reduction Reaction

The free energy of reactions detailed in Eq.(3) to Eq.(4) in the paper can be expressed using Eq.(2) as (see Ref. [1] for detail):

\[
\Delta G_1 = G_{OOH}^* - G_{O_2}^* - 0.5G_{H_2} + U_{eq} \quad (S1)
\]
\[
\Delta G_2 = G_{O}^* + G_{H_2O} - G_{OOH}^* - 0.5G_{H_2} + U_{eq} \quad (S2)
\]
\[
\Delta G_3 = G_{OH}^* - G_{O}^* - 0.5G_{H_2} + U_{eq} \quad (S3)
\]
\[
\Delta G_4 = G_{H_2O} + G_{O}^* - G_{OH}^* - 0.5G_{H_2} + U_{eq} \quad (S4)
\]

where $U_{eq} = eU - k_B T \ln 10 \times pHi$. However, due to the high-spin ground state of the O$_2$ molecule, the free energy is poorly described within the framework of DFT. To circumvent this problem when using the Eq.S1-S4, the reaction free energy of following equations is used:

\[
^* + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow OOH + \frac{3}{2}H_2 \quad (S5)
\]
\[
^* + H_2O \leftrightarrow O + H_2 \quad (S6)
\]
\[
^* + H_2O \leftrightarrow OH + \frac{1}{2}H_2 \quad (S7)
\]

Thus, the reaction free energies, Eqs. S5 to Eq. S7, can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta G_{OOH}^* = 1.5G_{H_2} + G_{H_2O} - 2G_{H_2O} - G_{s} \quad (S8)
\]
\[
\Delta G_{O}^* = G_{H_2} + G_{O}^* - G_{H_2O} - G_{s} \quad (S9)
\]
\[
\Delta G_{OH}^* = 0.5G_{H_2} + G_{OH} - G_{H_2O} - G_{s} \quad (S10)
\]

At equilibrium potential of 1.23 V, the reaction free energy of O$_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$ is 4.92 eV. Combining with Eqs. S8 – S10, the free energy in Eqs. S1 – S4 can be rewritten as:

\[
\Delta G_1 = \Delta G_{OOH}^* - 4.92 + U_{eq} \quad (S11)
\]
\[
\Delta G_2 = \Delta G_{O}^* - \Delta G_{OOH}^* + U_{eq} \quad (S12)
\]
\[
\Delta G_3 = \Delta G_{OH}^* - \Delta G_{O}^* + U_{eq} \quad (S13)
\]
\[
\Delta G_4 = -\Delta G_{OH}^* + U_{eq} \quad (S14)
\]
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Table S1: Bond length.

| Bond length | Pristine | 44|P | 44|E | 55|8 |
|-------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| H$_2$O      | 2.6 Å    | 2.6 Å| 2.5 Å| 2.4 Å|
| OH [O–Se]   | 2.1 Å    | 2.1 Å| 1.9 Å| 1.9 Å|
| O [O–Se]    | 1.7 Å    | 1.7 Å| 1.7 Å| 2.0 Å [O–Mo]|
| H [H–Mo]    | 1.9 Å    | 1.9 Å| 1.9 Å| 1.8 Å|

Table S2: Overpotential.

| Overpotential | Pristine | 44|P | 44|E | 55|8 |
|---------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| -             | 1.34 V   | 1.26 V| 1.19 V| 1.25 Å|

Figure S1: (a)-(c) Water adsorption on MoSe$_2$ at various sites. (d) Bader analysis of H$_2$O on MoSe$_2$. The charge analysis shows slight polar behaviour of MoSe$_2$ indicating that the electrostatic attraction is the main reason for orientation of H$_2$O in the most favourable absorption configuration i.e. schematic (c).

Figure S2: (a)-(d) OOH adsorption on MoSe$_2$ at various sites. (a) Pristine (b) 44|P (c) 44|E (d) 55|8.
Figure S3: Schematic view of OH and O\textsubscript{2} association and dissociation reactions: on Pristine, 44\|P, 44\|E and 55\|8 systems.

Figure S4: The energy diagram for the 2- (red) and 4-electron (gray) oxygen reduction, on 44\|P MTB. The electrochemical barrier for \(^{\text{*}}\text{OOH}\) to \(^{\text{*}}\text{O}\) is slightly lower than that for \(^{\text{*}}\text{OOH}\) to \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\).
Figure S5: Projected density of states (PDOS) for OH adsorbed on pristine and 44iP MTB MoSe$_2$. The red and gray lines indicate the states for the MoSe$_2$ monolayer and adsorbate, respectively.