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Xiaohui Hu, Yifeng Wang, Xiaodong Shen, Arkady V Krasheninnikov, Litao Sun and Zhongfang Chen

Abstract

Recently, the fabricated MoS$_2$ field effect transistors (FETs) with 1T-MoS$_2$ electrodes exhibit excellent performance with rather low contact resistance, as compared with those with metals deposited directly on 2H-MoS$_2$ (Kapper et al 2014 Nat. Mater. 13 1128), but the reason for that remains elusive. By means of density functional theory calculations, we investigated the carrier injection at the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interface and found that although the Schottky barrier height (SBH) values of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces can be tuned by controlling the stacking patterns, the p-type SBH values of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces with different stackings are lower than their corresponding n-type SBH values, which demonstrated that the metallic 1T phase can be used as an efficient hole injection layer for 2H-MoS$_2$. In addition, as compared to the n-type Au/MoS$_2$ and Pd/MoS$_2$ contacts, the p-type SBH values of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces are much lower, which stem from the efficient hole injection between 1T-MoS$_2$ and 2H-MoS$_2$. This can explain the low contact resistance in the MoS$_2$ FETs with 1T-MoS$_2$ electrodes. Notably, the SBH values can be effectively modulated by an external electric field, and a significantly low p-type SBH value can be achieved under an appropriate electric field. We also demonstrated that this approach is also valid for WS$_2$, WSe$_2$, and MoSe$_2$ systems, which indicates that the method can most likely be extended to other TMDs, and thus may open new promising avenues of contact engineering in these materials.

Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have recently received great attention as the channel material for FETs [1–8]. In principle, TMD FETs can transport either electrons (n-FET) or holes (p-FET) in the conducting channel, depending on the height of the Schottky barrier relative to the conduction band minimum (CBM) or valence-band maximum (VBM) [9]. However, most experimentally reported TMD FETs based on a Schottky device architecture are of n-type probably due to the relative ease of fabrication [9–12]. To complement TMDs for digital logic applications, it is highly desirable to develop p-type TMD-based FETs [13]. However, the studies of p-type TMD FETs are rather scarce due to the fabrication difficulties [9]. Thus, significant efforts have been undertaken to achieve efficient hole contacts. Experimentally, p-type multilayer MoS$_2$ FETs have been manufactured through hole-doping by palladium (Pd) contacts in the limit of large gate fields [14]. Recently, molybdenum trioxide (MoO$_x$, x < 3) [9, 15], a high work function material, was used as a buffer layer between Pd and MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$, and showed an efficient hole injection to MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$. In particular, FETs made from single-layer MoS$_2$, a semiconductor with a direct band gap of 1.8 eV [1, 16], high carrier mobility (~200 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and excellent
on/off current ratio ($10^5$) [17], demonstrate appealing performance. According to recent density functional theory (DFT) calculations [18–20], various metals can contact with MoS$_2$ to form n-type transistors, since the Fermi level of elemental metals is pinned close to the CBM of MoS$_2$. In stark contrast, among numerous metals examined (including Al, Ag, Au, Pd, Ir, Pt, Ru, Ni, Sc and Ti), only in the case of platinum, a p-type Schottky contact was computationally identified at the Pt/MoS$_2$ interface [18, 20]. In addition, graphene oxide (GO) was proposed to be a promising hole injection layer for single-layer MoS$_2$ due to its high work function and the relatively weak Fermi level pinning at the interfaces, and the p-type SBH can be decreased significantly by increasing the oxygen concentration as well as the fraction of epoxy functional groups in GO [21]. However, GO is nonstoichiometric, and it is rather challenging to experimentally control the oxygen concentration and the epoxy to hydroxyl ratio [22].

Monolayer TMDs have three polymorphs, namely trigonal prismatic (2H), octahedral (1T), and distorted 1T(1T') [23], among which the semiconducting 2H phase is energetically most favorable and has the highest stability. The phase transformations from the 2H-MoS$_2$ to the metallic 1T-MoS$_2$ can be induced by electron beam [24, 25] or lithium or sodium ion intercalation [26, 27]. Note that 1T-MoS$_2$ can exist even after complete removal of the ion support, although this phase is stabilized by electron donation via ion intercalation during synthesis [28, 29]. Recent experiments clearly demonstrated the advantages of 1T-MoS$_2$ over 2H-MoS$_2$ for some applications: 1T-MoS$_2$ shows higher catalytic activity for hydrogen evolution reactions (HER) [30, 31]. Especially, Kapper et al found that, as compared with those with metals deposited directly on 2H-MoS$_2$, FETs with locally introduced 1T-MoS$_2$ (with ~70% concentration) electrodes exhibited superior performance with rather low contact resistance, and expected that the FETs with pure 1T phase could have even greater enhancement in performance [27, 32]. Then, a question raises naturally, why do MoS$_2$ FETs with 1T phase electrodes exhibit excellent performance? How does the carrier injection occur at the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interface? Can such an approach be extended to other TMDs?

In this work, by means of systematic DFT calculations, we studied the carrier injection at the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interface and demonstrated that the metallic 1T phase can be used as an efficient hole injection layer for 2H-MoS$_2$. We show that 1T-MoS$_2$ forms a p-type contact with 2H-MoS$_2$, and the p-type SBH values are lower than those of the n-type Au/MoS$_2$ and Pd/MoS$_2$ contacts [18], which stem from the efficient hole injection between 1T-MoS$_2$ and 2H-MoS$_2$. This can explain the low contact resistance in the MoS$_2$ FETs with 1T-MoS$_2$ electrodes [27]. Notably, we find that an external electric field is able to effectively modulate the band alignment of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces, and the SBH values can be reduced or enhanced depending on the magnitude and direction of the electric field, and a significantly low p-type SBH value can be achieved under an appropriate electric field. Finally, we extend our studies to other TMDs, such as WS$_2$, WSe$_2$, and MoSe$_2$, and find that the p-type contacts are also obtained in their 1T/2H interfaces.

### Computational method

Our DFT calculations were performed using the VASP package [33, 34]. The projector-augmented-wave (PAW) method was employed to describe electron-ion interactions [35, 36], while the exchange-correlation functional was treated by generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the scheme of Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) [37]. Van der Waals corrections were included through Grimme’s DFT-D2 method as implemented in VASP [38, 39]. The energy cutoff of the plane wave was set to 500 eV, and the atomic positions were fully relaxed until the maximum force on each atom was less than 0.005 eV Å$^{-1}$. A k-point sampling of $32 \times 32 \times 1$ was used for geometry optimizations and self-consistent calculations, and a vacuum region of 16 Å was introduced to avoid interaction between periodic images of slabs. Note that in DFT calculations, 1T phase can spontaneously transform into the 1T$'$-MoS$_2$ [40], but the difference between these two phases is rather small. Moreover, 1T phase was experimentally synthesized [27, 32]. Thus, in this work we adapted the 1T-MoS$_2$ phase instead of 1T$'$-MoS$_2$, as it gives the qualitatively correct picture and the computed SBH trends are not affected (see figures S1, S2 and the related text in supporting information (stacks.iop.org/TDM/5/031012/mmedia)). In addition, the spin–orbit interactions were not accounted here, as it does not affect the p-type SBH trends (see figure S3 in the supporting information for details).

### Results and discussion

In order to construct the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces, we first examined the lattice constants of 1T-MoS$_2$ and 2H-MoS$_2$ monolayers. The calculated lattice constants of 1T and 2H phases of MoS$_2$ are the same (3.18 Å), well consistent with previous results [41]. Thus, the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces can be constructed simply by stacking the primitive cells of 1T-MoS$_2$ and 2H-MoS$_2$ without any strain. Note that there are three high-symmetry sites in monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$: S-site (denoted as A), Mo-site (B), and center-of-hexagon (C). All the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces can be built using these sites. For example, as shown in figure 1(a), monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$ can be named as ABA, while monolayer 1T-MoS$_2$ is denoted as ABC according to the atomic stacking sequence (S'-Mo-S). For all the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces in this work, the bottom layer is always 2H−MoS$_2$ (ABA), while the top 1T-MoS$_2$ layer has totally six different atomic sequences when stacked...
above 2H-MoS$_2$, namely ABC, ACB, BAC, BCA, CAB and CBA (figures 1(a)–(f)). Because one layer is always 2H-MoS$_2$ (ABA), for simplicity, we used the atomic stacking sequence of 1T-MoS$_2$ to name these six different stackings of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ (figure 1).

To investigate the stability of the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces, we computed the interlayer binding energy, which is defined as the energy difference between 1T/2H MoS$_2$ and the corresponding monolayers ($E_b = E_{\text{1T/2H}} - E_{\text{1T}} - E_{\text{2H}}$) (see the related text in supporting information). According to this definition, a more negative $E_b$ value indicates a higher stability of the interface. As summarized in table 1, the interlayer binding energies of ABC and ACB stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$ (−0.10 eV) are slightly less negative than those of BAC, BCA, CAB and CBA stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$ (−0.15 ~ −0.17 eV), which indicates that the ABC and ACB stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$ are less favorable than the others. Overall, all the interlayer binding energies are negative, suggesting that all these 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces are energetically favorable.

The interfacial distances well correlate with the interlayer binding energies for 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces. Specifically, the interfacial distance $d$ is significantly larger in the energetically less favorable ABC and ACB stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$ (6.83 and 6.75 Å, respectively) than other stackings (6.14–6.24 Å). Note that the equilibrium distance between monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$ and 1T-MoS$_2$ depends on the relative position of S atoms at the interface. When S atoms at the interface are stacked directly on top of each other, the interlayer coupling between the two monolayers is weaker, leading to the increased interlayer distance and less favorable binding energy for ABC and ACB stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$. In contrast, when S atoms at the interface are staggered, the interlayer coupling becomes stronger, leading to a decreased interlayer distance and stronger binding energy in BAC, BCA, CAB and CBA stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$ (table 1).

![Figure 1. The top and side views of six different stackings of 1T and 2H MoS$_2$ sheets. The definition for the interlayer distance $d$ is indicated in panel (f).](image)

### Table 1. The interlayer binding energy $E_b$ (eV/f.u.), the equilibrium interfacial distance $d$ (Å) between the 1T-MoS$_2$ Mo plane and the 2H-MoS$_2$ Mo plane, the n-type SBH values (n-SBH), and the p-type SBH values (p-SBH) in the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stacking</th>
<th>$E_b$ (eV/f.u.)</th>
<th>$d$ (Å)</th>
<th>n-SBH (eV)</th>
<th>p-SBH (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCA</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The electronic properties of 2H-MoS$_2$ and 1T-MoS$_2$ are quite different. At the PBE level of theory, 2H-MoS$_2$ is semiconducting with a direct band gap of 1.68 eV, while 1T-MoS$_2$ is metallic (figure S4, supporting information). Our results agree well with previous theoretical calculations [41]. SBH is a key factor that determines contact resistances in the MoS$_2$ transistors [42]. According to previous DFT simulations on GO/MoS$_2$ interfaces [21] and metal/MoS$_2$ contacts [18], the n-type SBH is defined as the energy difference between the Fermi level of the interfaces and the CBM of 2H-MoS$_2$ layer, while the p-type SBH is the energy difference between the VBM of 2H-MoS$_2$ layer and the Fermi level of the interfaces. Therefore, we computed the band structures (figures 2(a)–(f)), the total density of states (TDOS) of the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces and the projected density of states (PDOS) from 2H-MoS$_2$, 1T-MoS$_2$ of the interfaces (figure S5, supporting information), then obtained the SBH values by comparing the band structures and DOS of the interfaces with those of 2H-MoS$_2$ from the interfaces. To gain further insight, we carefully checked the band alignment for monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$, monolayer 1T-MoS$_2$ and 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces (figure 2(g)), and found that the work function ($W = E_{\text{vac}} - E_p$), where $E_{\text{vac}}$ and
$E_F$ are the vacuum level and Fermi level, respectively) of 1T-MoS$_2$ is closer to the VBM than to the CBM of 2H-MoS$_2$, indicating that 1T-MoS$_2$ can be used as a hole contact for 2H-MoS$_2$. Meanwhile, the Fermi level slightly shifts up after 2H-MoS$_2$ and 1T-MoS$_2$ form the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces. Thus, the work function of the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces is slightly smaller than that of monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$ and monolayer 1T-MoS$_2$ (figure 2(g)).

Table 1 summarizes the computed n-type and p-type SBH values of the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces with different stacking configurations. Notably, the maximal difference in the n-type SBH is 0.13 eV, while that in the p-type SBH is 0.23 eV. Thus, there is a partial Fermi level pinning behavior at the interface, in other words, the Fermi levels are in a 0.13–0.23 eV window in the gap for these six interfacial configurations. Our computations also suggest that the SBH values at the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces can be tuned by controlling the stacking patterns. Interestingly, distinct from most metal/MoS$_2$ contacts [18], the Fermi level is closer to the VBM than to the CBM of 2H-MoS$_2$ (figure 2(g)), indicating that all these 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces form p-type contacts. Furthermore, the p-type SBH values (0.44–0.67 eV) are lower than those of the n-type Au/MoS$_2$ and Pd/

![Figure 2. Band structures of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces with different stackings of (a) ABC, (b) ACB, (c) BAC, (d) BCA, (e) CAB, and (f) CBA, respectively. The blue solid lines are the overall band structures. The red dots denote the projected band structures of the 2H-MoS$_2$ layer. The weight is represented by the dot size. The Fermi level is set at zero, indicated by the olive dashed lines. (g) Band alignments of monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$, monolayer 1T-MoS$_2$ and 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces. Relevant electronic parameters are also given. The red dashed lines are the Fermi level. The vacuum level is taken as reference.](image-url)


MoS\textsubscript{2} contacts (0.88 and 0.85 eV, respectively) [18], which result from the efficient hole injection between 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} and 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2}. This can explain the low contact resistance in the MoS\textsubscript{2} FETs with 1T phase electrodes [27]. Note that Au and Pd are the most common electrode materials, and were also used in Kappera’s studies on the MoS\textsubscript{2} FETs with 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} electrodes [27]. In order to compare the performance of MoS\textsubscript{2} FETs with pure 1T phase electrodes and locally introduced 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} electrodes, taking the BAC stacked 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces as an example, we calculated the total DOS, the PDOS of 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} and locally introduced 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} (figures S6 and S7). It is found that the p-type SBH value (0.49 eV) of 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} with pure 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} is lower than those of 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} with locally introduced 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} (0.57 eV). Our above analysis strongly supports Kappera et al’s expectation that MoS\textsubscript{2} FETs with pure 1T phase electrodes will have even greater enhancement in performance than those with locally introduced 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} electrodes [27].

The pristine 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} monolayer exhibits a direct band gap at the K point (figure S4), its CBM is mostly contributed by Mo \(d_{yz}\) and \(d_{xz}\) orbitals, while its VBM is composed of mainly Mo \(d_{xy}\) and \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbitals. With increasing the number of layers, the VB edge at \(\Gamma\) point dominated by Mo \(d_{xz}\) orbitals becomes important and increases rapidly [43]. The similar trend occurs when 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2} is added to 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} to form 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces: the VBM of 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} in all the 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces is dominated by Mo \(d_{xy}\) orbitals, instead of Mo \(d_{xy}\) and \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbitals as in the pristine 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} monolayer (figure 3), and is shifted from K point to \(\Gamma\) point (figure 2). On the other hand, as compared with the pristine 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} monolayer, in 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces the conduction bands of 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} are well preserved with the CBM located at the K point (figure 2). Clearly, upon the formation of the 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces, the VB edge at \(\Gamma\) point of the pristine 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} shifts to the VBM in 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces, which explains the decrease of the band gap of 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} (figure 2(g)) and the achievement of the p-type SBH in the 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces.

Due to the metallic and semiconducting nature of the 1T and 2H MoS\textsubscript{2} monolayers, the charge transfer between the individual layers in 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces can be expected. To examine the details of the charge transfer at the 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces, we investigated the charge difference between the 1T/2H MoS\textsubscript{2} interfaces and the sum of the monolayer 2H-MoS\textsubscript{2} and 1T-MoS\textsubscript{2}, i.e., \(\Delta \rho = \rho_{1T/2H} - \rho_{1T} - \rho_{2H}\) (figure S8).
To have a quantitative picture, we plotted the plane-averaged electron density difference $\Delta \rho(z)$ along the direction perpendicular to the interface (figure 4). As shown in figures 4(a) and (b), there is only charge depletion at the interfacial region of ABC and ACB stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$, similar to metal-graphene contacts [44]. The presence of an interfacial charge depletion region is a direct evidence of the surface charge repulsion effect [45]. Similar to the previous work [45], if there is only charge depletion at the interfacial region between 1T and 2H MoS$_2$, the antiparallel alignment of interface dipoles will be formed, which would reduce the interface binding energy, as indicated by the black arrows in figure 4(g). However, when charge accumulation also occurs at the interfacial region between 1T and 2H MoS$_2$, the parallel alignment of interface dipoles will be formed, which will enhance the interface binding energy, as shown in figure 4(h). Thus, charge accumulation at the interfacial region could enhance the interface binding.
energy by tuning the interface dipoles. In contrast, in figures 4(c)–(f), the charge accumulation is observed in BAC, BCA, CAB and CBA stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$, which suggests a relatively strong interaction between the two monolayers and is consistent with stronger binding energy in BAC, BCA, CAB and CBA stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$. Both the charge depletion and the charge accumulation constitute the charge redistribution, leading to the electric dipole formation, or the electrical polarization. Furthermore, in BAC, BCA, CAB and CBA stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces with charge accumulation, the much stronger charge redistribution implies more pronounced hybridization and dipole formation at the interface, and consequently larger electrical polarization and striking SBH value difference between these four 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces (0.44–0.49 eV) and those with only charge depletion at the interfacial region (namely ABC and ACB stacked 1T/2H MoS$_2$, 0.65–0.67 eV). The above conclusions were further substantiated by the charge difference between the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces and the sum of the monolayer 2H-MoS$_2$ and 1T-MoS$_2$ (figure S8).

An intrinsic electric field can be introduced by the electrical polarization in the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces, and previous studies showed that the electronic structures of bilayer MoS$_2$ can be tuned by vertical electric field [46]. Then, to what extent will the electric field affect the SBH values in the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces? To address this question, we applied an external vertical electric field across the interface, and the electric field from 2H-MoS$_2$ to 1T-MoS$_2$ was defined as positive. Figure 5 presents the SBH values of the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ interfaces under various electric fields, in which the red and blue colors indicate n-type and p-type SBH values, respectively. The general trend is clear: under a positive electric field, the n-type SBH consistently increases with increasing electric field, while the p-type SBH generally decreases, eventually achieving a significantly low p-type SBH value for all the 1T/2H MoS$_2$. In contrast, a different response is observed when a nega-
ative electric field is applied. The n-type SBH gradually decreases with increasing a negative electric field, and finally achieves a significantly low n-type SBH value. In comparison, the p-type SBH gradually increases under a negative electric field. Such an external electric-field-dependent band alignment offers a practical route to tune the SBH values.

Can our above findings be extended to other 1T/2H TMDs interfaces? To address this question, we examined several other TMDs, such as WS\(_2\), WSe\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\), which have shown significant promise towards realizing p-type FETs \[47–49\]. Taking the BAC stacked 1T/2H TMDs interfaces as an example, we calculated their band structures, as shown in figures 6(a)–(c). The p-type SBH values (0.41, 0.66 and 0.74 eV) are lower than their corresponding n-type SBH values (0.99, 0.69 and 0.78 eV), respectively. Furthermore, we also examined the other five stacking 1T/2H TMDs interfaces, their n-SBH and p-SBH values (table s1, supporting information) clearly showed that the p-type contacts are obtained in these 1T/2H interfaces. By analyzing the band alignment of monolayer 2H-WS\(_2\), 2H-WSe\(_2\), 2H-MoSe\(_2\) and the work function of monolayer 1T-WS\(_2\), 1T-WSe\(_2\), 1T-MoSe\(_2\), the red dashed lines are the Fermi level. The vacuum level is taken as reference.

**Conclusion**

In summary, by means of comprehensive DFT calculations, we investigated carrier injection at the 1T/2H MoS\(_2\) interface and demonstrated that the metallic 1T phase can be used as an efficient hole injection layer for 2H-MoS\(_2\). The 1T-MoS\(_2\) monolayer forms a p-type contact with 2H-MoS\(_2\) due to the increase of VBM of 2H-MoS\(_2\) upon the formation of interface and the dipole formation caused by the charge redistribution at 1T/2H MoS\(_2\) interface. Moreover, the p-type SBH values are lower than those of the n-type Au/MoS\(_2\) and Pd/MoS\(_2\) contacts, which result from the efficient hole injection between 1T-MoS\(_2\) and 2H-MoS\(_2\). This can explain the low contact resistance in the MoS\(_2\) FETs with 1T phase electrodes \[27\]. Our results also strongly support Kapera et al’s expectation that MoS\(_2\) FETs with pure 1T phase electrodes will have even greater enhancement in performance than those with locally introduced 1T-MoS\(_2\) electrodes \[27\]. Notably, the
SBH value can be effectively modulated by an external electric field, and a significantly low p-type SBH value can be achieved under an appropriate electric field. We also demonstrated that this approach can be extended to WS₂, WSe₂, MoSe₂, and most likely other TMDs, thus it may open new promising avenues of contact engineering in TMDs.
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