# Lecture 6: Particle Filtering, Other Approximations, and Continuous-Time Models

#### Simo Särkkä

#### Department of Biomedical Engineering and Computational Science Aalto University

March 10, 2011

Simo Särkkä Lecture 6: Particle Filtering and Other Approximations

#### Contents



- 2 Particle Filtering Properties
- 3 Further Filtering Algorithms
- 4 Continuous-Discrete-Time EKF
- General Continuous-Discrete-Time Filtering
- 6 Continuous-Time Filtering
  - 7 Linear Stochastic Differential Equations
- What is Beyond This?
  - Summary

# Particle Filtering: Overview [1/3]

#### Demo: Kalman vs. Particle Filtering:



## Particle Filtering: Overview [2/3]



 The idea is to form a weighted particle presentation (x<sup>(i)</sup>, w<sup>(i)</sup>) of the posterior distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{i} w^{(i)} \, \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)}).$$

- Particle filtering = Sequential importance sampling, with additional resampling step.
- Bootstrap filter (also called Condensation) is the simplest particle filter.

## Particle Filtering: Overview [3/3]

- The efficiency of particle filter is determined by the selection of the importance distribution.
- The importance distribution can be formed by using e.g. EKF or UKF.
- Sometimes the optimal importance distribution can be used, and it minimizes the variance of the weights.
- Rao-Blackwellization: Some components of the model are marginalized in closed form ⇒ hybrid particle/Kalman filter.

## Bootstrap Filter: Principle

- State density representation is set of samples  $\{\mathbf{x}_{k}^{(i)} : i = 1, ..., N\}.$
- Bootstrap filter performs optimal filtering update and prediction steps using Monte Carlo.
- Prediction step performs prediction for each particle separately.
- Equivalent to integrating over the distribution of previous step (as in Kalman Filter).
- Update step is implemented with weighting and resampling.

#### **Bootstrap Filter**

Generate sample from predictive density of each old sample point x<sup>(i)</sup><sub>k-1</sub>:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{(i)} \sim p(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^{(i)}).$$

2 Evaluate and normalize weights for each new sample point  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{(i)}$ :

$$w_k^{(i)} = p(\mathbf{y}_k \mid \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^{(i)}).$$

Sesample by selecting new samples  $\mathbf{x}_{k}^{(i)}$  from set  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{(i)}\}$  with probabilities proportional to  $w_{k}^{(i)}$ .

# Sequential Importance Resampling: Principle

• State density representation is set of weighted samples  $\{(\mathbf{x}_k^{(i)}, \mathbf{w}_k^{(i)}) : i = 1, ..., N\}$  such that for arbitrary function  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ , we have

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k) | \mathbf{y}_{1:k}] \approx \sum_{i} w_k^{(i)} \, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k^{(i)}).$$

- On each step, we first draw samples from an importance distribution π(·), which is chosen suitably.
- The prediction and update steps are combined and consist of computing new weights from the old ones  $w_{k-1}^{(i)} \rightarrow w_k^{(i)}$ .
- If the "sample diversity" i.e the effective number of different samples is too low, do resampling.

# Sequential Importance Resampling: Algorithm

#### Sequential Importance Resampling

Oraw new point  $\mathbf{x}_{k}^{(i)}$  for each point in the sample set  $\{\mathbf{x}_{k-1}^{(i)}, i = 1, ..., N\}$  from the importance distribution:

$$\mathbf{x}_k^{(i)} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}_{1:k}), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Calculate new weights

$$w_k^{(i)} = w_{k-1}^{(i)} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{y}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_k^{(i)}) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}_k^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^{(i)})}{\pi(\mathbf{x}_k^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}_{1:k})}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

and normalize them to sum to unity.

If the effective number of particles is too low, perform resampling.

## Effective Number of Particles and Resampling

The estimate for the effective number of particles can be computed as:

$$n_{\mathrm{eff}} \approx rac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( w_k^{(i)} 
ight)^2},$$

#### Resampling

- Interpret each weight  $w_k^{(i)}$  as the probability of obtaining the sample index *i* in the set  $\{\mathbf{x}_k^{(i)} | i = 1, ..., N\}$ .
- Draw N samples from that discrete distribution and replace the old sample set with this new one.
- Set all weights to the constant value  $w_k^{(i)} = 1/N$ .

#### Constructing the Importance Distribution

- Use the dynamic model as the importance distribution ⇒ Bootstrap filter.
- Use the optimal importance distribution

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \mathbf{y}_{1:k}) = \rho(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \mathbf{y}_{1:k}).$$

- Approximate the optimal importance distribution by UKF ⇒ unscented particle filter.
- Instead of UKF also EKF, SLF or any Gaussian filter can be, for example, used.
- Simulate availability of optimal importance distribution ⇒ auxiliary SIR (ASIR) filter.

# Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filtering: Principle [1/2]

• Consider a conditionally Gaussian model of the form

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{s}_k &\sim p(\mathbf{s}_k \,|\, \mathbf{s}_{k-1}) \\ \mathbf{x}_k &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{s}_{k-1}) \,\mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \mathbf{q}_k, \qquad \mathbf{q}_k \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}) \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{s}_k) \,\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{r}_k, \qquad \mathbf{r}_k \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) \end{split}$$

The model is of the form

$$p(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{s}_k | \mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \mathbf{s}_{k-1}) = \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{s}_{k-1})\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \mathbf{Q}) p(\mathbf{s}_k | \mathbf{s}_{k-1})$$
$$p(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{s}_k) = \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{s}_k), \mathbf{R})$$

- The full model is non-linear and non-Gaussian in general.
- But given the values s<sub>k</sub> the model is Gaussian and thus Kalman filter equations can be used.

# Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filtering: Principle [1/2]

- The idea of the Rao-Blackwellized particle filter:
  - Use Monte Carlo sampling to the values **s**<sub>k</sub>
  - Given these values, compute distribution of **x**<sub>k</sub> with Kalman filter equations.
  - Result is a Mixture Gaussian distribution, where each particle consist of value s<sup>(i)</sup><sub>k</sub>, associated weight w<sup>(i)</sup><sub>k</sub> and the mean and covariance conditional to the history s<sup>(i)</sup><sub>1:k</sub>
- The explicit RBPF equations can be found in the lecture notes.
- If the model is almost conditionally Gaussian, it is also possible to use e.g. EKF, SLF or UKF instead of the linear KF.

## Particle Filter: Advantages

- No restrictions in model can be applied to non-Gaussian models, hierarchical models etc.
- Global approximation.
- Approaches the exact solution, when the number of samples goes to infinity.
- In its basic form, very easy to implement.
- Superset of other filtering methods Kalman filter is a Rao-Blackwellized particle filter with one particle.

## Particle Filter: Disadvantages

- Computational requirements much higher than of the Kalman filters.
- Problems with nearly noise-free models, especially with accurate dynamic models.
- Good importance distributions and efficient Rao-Blackwellized filters quite tricky to implement.
- Very hard to find programming errors (i.e., to debug).

## Multiple Model Kalman Filtering

- Algorithm for estimating true mode(I) or its parameter from a finite set of alternatives.
- Assume that we are given *N* possible models/modes, and one of them is true.
- If *s* is the model or mode index, the problem can be written in form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{i}) &= \pi_0^{\boldsymbol{i}} \\ \boldsymbol{x}_k &= \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}(\boldsymbol{s}) \, \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{q}}_{k-1} \\ \boldsymbol{y}_k &= \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}(\boldsymbol{s}) \, \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{r}}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_{k-1} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}(s))$  and  $\mathbf{r}_k \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}(s))$ .

• Can be solved in closed form with *s* parallel Kalman filters.

## Switching Dynamic Linear Models

- Assume that we have *N* possible models, but the true model is assumed to change in time.
- If the model index  $s_k$  is modeled as Markov chain, we have:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(m{s}_0 = i) &= \pi_0^i \ \mathcal{P}(m{s}_k = i \,|\, m{s}_{k-1} = j) &= \Pi_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

• Given the model/mode  $s_k$  we have linear Gaussian model:

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{s}_k) \, \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \mathbf{q}_{k-1}$$
$$\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{s}_k) \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{r}_k,$$

• Closed form solution would require running Kalman filters for each possible history  $s_{1:k} \Rightarrow N^k$  filters, not feasible.

# Switching Dynamic Linear Models (cont.)

- Retain huge number of hypotheses and prune ones with lowest probabilities ⇒ multiple hypothesis tracking (MHT).
- Use a Rao-Blackwellized particle filter (RBPF) or plain particle filter.
- Classical alternatives:
  - 1st order Generalized pseudo-Bayesian (GPB1) filter uses single Gaussian and one-step integration over modes.
  - 2nd order Generalized pseudo-Bayesian (GPB2) filter uses sum (mixture) of *N* Gaussians and two-step integration.
  - Interacting multiple models (IMM) filter uses sum of *N* Gaussians, and mixing of Gaussians in prediction and normal multiple model update.

#### Variational Kalman Smoother

- Variation Bayesian analysis based framework for estimating the parameters of linear state space models.
- Idea: Fix Q = I and assume that the joint distribution of states x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>T</sub> and parameters A, H, R is approximately separable:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{R} \,|\, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_T) \\ &\approx \rho(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T \,|\, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_T) \, \rho(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{R} \,|\, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_T). \end{aligned}$$

- The resulting EM-algorithm consist of alternating steps of smoothing with fixed parameters and estimation of new parameter values.
- The general equations of the algorithm are quite complicated and assume that all the model parameters are to be estimated.

# Recursive Variational Bayesian Estimation of Noise Variances

- Algorithm for estimating unknown time-varying measurement variances.
- Assume that the joint filtering distribution of state and measurement noise variance is approximately separable:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_k, \sigma_k^2 | y_1, \ldots, y_k) \approx p(\mathbf{x}_k | y_1, \ldots, y_k) p(\sigma_k^2 | y_1, \ldots, y_k)$$

• Variational Bayesian analysis leads to algorithm, where the natural representation is

$$p(\sigma_k^2 | y_1, \dots, y_k) = \text{InvGamma}(\sigma_k^2 | \alpha_k, \beta_k)$$
$$p(\mathbf{x}_k | y_1, \dots, y_k) = \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{P}_k).$$

 The update step consists of a fixed-point iteration for computing new α<sub>k</sub>, β<sub>k</sub>, m<sub>k</sub>, P<sub>k</sub> from the old ones.

# Outlier Rejection and Multiple Target Tracking

- Outlier Rejection / Clutter Modeling:
  - Probabilistic Data Association (PDA)
  - Monte Carlo Data Association (MCDA)
  - Multiple hypothesis tracking (MHT)
- Multiple Target Tracking
  - Multiple hypothesis tracking (MHT)
  - Joint Probabilistic Data Association (JPDA)
  - Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filtering (RBMCDA) for Multiple Target Tracking

#### Continuous-Discrete Pendulum Model

• Consider the pendulum model, which was first stated as

$$d^{2}\theta/dt^{2} = -g \sin(\theta) + w(t)$$
  
$$y_{k} = \sin(\theta(t_{k})) + r_{k},$$

where w(t) is "Gaussian white noise" and  $r_k \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

• With state  $\mathbf{x} = (\theta, d\theta/dt)$ , the model is of the abstract form

$$egin{aligned} d\mathbf{x}/dt &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{w}(t) \ \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}(t_k)) + \mathbf{r}_k \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}(t)$  has the covariance (spectral density)  $\mathbf{Q}_c$ .

 Continuous-time dynamics + discrete-time measurement = Continuous-discrete (-time) filtering model.

## Discretization of Continuous Dynamics [1/4]

- Previously we assumed that the measurements are obtained at times  $t_k = 0, \Delta t, 2\Delta t, ...$
- The state space model was then Euler-discretized as

$$\mathbf{x}_{k} = \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}) \Delta t + \mathbf{q}_{k-1}$$
$$\mathbf{y}_{k} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) + \mathbf{r}_{k}$$

- But what should be the variance of q<sub>k</sub>?
- Consistency: The same variance for single step of length  $\Delta t$ , and 2 steps of length  $\Delta t/2$ :

$$\mathbf{q}_k \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_c \, \Delta t)$$

# Discretization of Continuous Dynamics [2/4]

- Now the Extended Kalman fiter (EKF) for this model is
  - Prediction:

$$\mathbf{m}_{k}^{-} = \mathbf{m}_{k-1} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}_{k-1}) \Delta t$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F} \Delta t) \mathbf{P}_{k-1} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F} \Delta t)^{T} + \mathbf{Q}_{c} \Delta t$$
$$= \mathbf{P}_{k-1} + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \Delta t + \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}^{T} \Delta t$$
$$+ \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \mathbf{F}^{T} \Delta t^{2} + \mathbf{Q}_{c} \Delta t$$

Update:

$$\mathbf{S}_{k} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{m}_{k}^{-}) \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} \mathbf{H}^{T}(\mathbf{m}_{k}^{-}) + \mathbf{R}$$
$$\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} \mathbf{H}^{T}(\mathbf{m}_{k}^{-}) \mathbf{S}_{k}^{-1}$$
$$\mathbf{m}_{k} = \mathbf{m}_{k}^{-} + \mathbf{K}_{k} [\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{m}_{k}^{-})]$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} - \mathbf{K}_{k} \mathbf{S}_{k} \mathbf{K}_{k}^{T}$$

# Discretization of Continuous Dynamics [3/4]

- But what happens if ∆t is not "small", that is, if we get measurements quite rarely?
  - We can use more Euler steps between measurements.
  - We can perform the EKF prediction on each step.
  - We can even compute the limit of infinite number of steps.
- If we let  $\delta t = \Delta t/n$ , the prediction becomes:

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{0} = \mathbf{m}_{k-1}; \quad \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{0} = \mathbf{P}_{k-1}$$
  
or  $i = 1 \dots n$   
$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{i} = \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{i-1} + \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{i-1}) \,\delta t$$
  
$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i} = \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1} + \mathbf{F} \,\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1} \,\delta t + \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1} \,\mathbf{F}^{T} \,\delta t$$
  
$$+ \mathbf{F} \,\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1} \,\mathbf{F}^{T} \,\delta t^{2} + \mathbf{Q}_{c} \,\delta t$$

end

$$\mathbf{m}_k^- = \hat{\mathbf{m}}_n; \quad \mathbf{P}_k^- = \hat{\mathbf{P}}_n.$$

# Discretization of Continuous Dynamics [4/4]

By re-arranging the equations in the for-loop, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{i} - \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{i-1})/\delta t = \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{i-1}) \\ &(\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i} - \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1})/\delta t = \mathbf{F}\,\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1} + \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1}\,\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{F}\,\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-1}\,\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}}\,\delta t + \mathbf{Q}_{c} \end{aligned}$$

• In the limit  $\delta t \to 0$ , we get the differential equations  $d\hat{\mathbf{m}}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{m}}(t))$  $d\hat{\mathbf{P}}/dt = \mathbf{F}(\hat{\mathbf{m}}(t))\,\hat{\mathbf{P}}(t) + \hat{\mathbf{P}}(t)\,\mathbf{F}^{T}(\hat{\mathbf{m}}(t)) + \mathbf{Q}_{c}$ 

The initial conditions are

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}(0) = \mathbf{m}_{k-1}$$
  
 $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(0) = \mathbf{P}_{k-1}$ 

The final prediction is

$$\mathbf{m}_k^- = \hat{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta t)$$
$$\mathbf{P}_k^- = \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\Delta t)$$

## Continuous-Discrete EKF

#### Continuous-Discrete EKF

• Prediction: between the measurements integrate the following differential equations from  $t_{k-1}$  to  $t_k$ :

 $d\mathbf{m}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}(t))$  $d\mathbf{P}/dt = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{m}(t))\mathbf{P}(t) + \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{m}(t)) + \mathbf{Q}_{c}$ 

Update: at measurements do the EKF update

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_k &= \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{m}_k^-) \, \mathbf{P}_k^- \, \mathbf{H}^T(\mathbf{m}_k^-) + \mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{K}_k &= \mathbf{P}_k^- \, \mathbf{H}^T(\mathbf{m}_k^-) \, \mathbf{S}_k^{-1} \\ \mathbf{m}_k &= \mathbf{m}_k^- + \mathbf{K}_k \left[ \mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{m}_k^-) \right] \\ \mathbf{P}_k &= \mathbf{P}_k^- - \mathbf{K}_k \, \mathbf{S}_k \, \mathbf{K}_k^T, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{m}_{k}^{-}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{k}^{-}$  are the results of the prediction step.

# Continuous-Discrete SLF, UKF, PF etc.

The equations

 $d\mathbf{m}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}(t))$  $d\mathbf{P}/dt = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{m}(t)) \mathbf{P}(t) + \mathbf{P}(t) \mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{m}(t)) + \mathbf{Q}_{c}$ 

actually generate a Gaussian process approximation  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim N(\mathbf{m}(t), \mathbf{P}(t))$  to the solution of non-linear stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{w}(t)$$

- We could also use statistical linearization or unscented transform and get a bit different limiting differential equations.
- Also possible to generate particle approximations by a continuous-time version of importance sampling (based on Girsanov theorem).

# More general SDE Theory

 The most general SDE model usually considered is of the form

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{w}(t)$$

• Formally, **w**(*t*) is a Gaussian white noise process with zero mean and covariance function

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathbf{w}(t)\,\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}(t')] = \mathbf{Q}_{c}\,\delta(t'-t)$$

The distribution p(x(t)) is non-Gaussian and it is given by the following partial differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{p} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \left( [\mathbf{L} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{L}^{T}]_{ij} \boldsymbol{p} \right)$$

• Known as Fokker-Planck equation or Kolmogorov forward equation.

# More general SDE Theory (cont.)

 In more rigorous theory, we actually must interpret the SDE as integral equation

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}(s) = \int_{s}^{t} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) dt + \int_{s}^{t} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{w}(t) dt$$

 In Ito's theory of SDE's the second integral is defined as stochastic integral w.r.t. Brownian motion β(t):

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}(s) = \int_{s}^{t} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) dt + \int_{s}^{t} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) d\beta(t)$$

i.e., formally  $\mathbf{w}(t) dt = d\beta(t)$  or  $\mathbf{w}(t) = d\beta(t)/dt$ 

- However, Brownian motion is nowhere differentiable!
- Brownian motion is also called as Wiener process.

# More general SDE Theory (cont. II)

• In stochastics, the integral equation is often written as

 $d\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, dt + \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\beta(t)$ 

 In engineering (control theory, physics) it is customary to formally divide with *dt* to get

$$d\mathbf{x}(t)/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{w}(t)$$

- So called Stratonovich's theory is more consistent with this white noise interpretation than Ito's theory.
- In mathematical sense Stratonovich's theory defines the stochastic integral ∫<sup>t</sup><sub>s</sub> L(x) dβ(t) a bit differently – also the Fokker-Planck equation is different.

#### **Cautions About White Noise**

- White noise is actually only formally defined as derivative of Brownian motion.
- White noise can only be defined in distributional sense for this reason non-linear functions of it g(w(t)) are not well-defined.
- For this reason, the following more general type of SDE does not make sense:

$$d\mathbf{x}(t)/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$$

 We must also be careful in interpreting the multiplicative term in the equation

$$d\mathbf{x}(t)/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{w}(t)$$

#### Formal Optimal Continuous-Discrete Filter

#### Optimal continuous-discrete filter

Prediction step: Solve the Kolmogorov-forward (Fokker-Planck) partial differential equation.

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{p} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \left( [\mathbf{L} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{L}^{T}]_{ij} \boldsymbol{p} \right)$$

Update step: Apply the Bayes' rule.

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}(t_k) | \mathbf{y}_{1:k}) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{x}(t_k)) \rho(\mathbf{x}(t_k) | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})}{\int \rho(\mathbf{y}_k | \mathbf{x}(t_k)) \rho(\mathbf{x}(t_k) | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t_k)}$$

# General Continuous-Time Filtering

• We could also model the measurements as a continuous-time process:

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{w}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{n}(t)$$

- Again, one must be very careful in interpreting the white noise processes w(t) and n(t).
- The filtering equations become a stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) called Kushner-Stratonovich equation.
- The equation for the unnormalized filtering density is called the Zakai equation, which also is a SPDE.
- It is also possible to take the continuous-time limit of the Bayesian smoothing equations (result is a PDE).

• If the system is linear

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}(t)$$
  
 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H} \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}(t)$ 

we get the continuous-time Kalman-Bucy filter:

$$d\mathbf{m}/dt = \mathbf{F} \,\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{K} \,(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H} \,\mathbf{m})$$
  
 $d\mathbf{P}/dt = \mathbf{F} \,\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \,\mathbf{F}^{T} + \mathbf{Q}_{c} - \mathbf{K} \,\mathbf{R} \,\mathbf{K}^{T}$ 

where  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1}$ .

- The stationary solution to these equations is equivalent to the continuous-time Wiener filter.
- Non-linear extensions (EKF, SLF, UKF, etc.) can be obtained similarly to the discrete-time case.

# Solution of LTI SDE

• Let's return to linear stochastic differential equations:

 $d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}$ 

- Assume that **F** is time-independent. For example, in car-tracking model we had a model of this type.
- Given  $\mathbf{x}(0)$  we can now actually solve the equation

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \exp(t\,\mathbf{F})\,\mathbf{x}(0) + \int_0^t \exp((t-s)\,\mathbf{F})\,\mathbf{w}(s)\,ds,$$

where exp(.) is the matrix exponential function:

$$\exp(t\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{F} + \frac{1}{2!}t^2\mathbf{F}^2 + \frac{1}{3!}t^3\mathbf{F}^3 + \dots$$

Note that we are treating w(s) as an ordinary function, which is not generally justified!

# Solution of LTI SDE (cont.)

• We can also solve the equation on predefined time points  $t_1, t_2, \ldots$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}(t_k) = \exp((t_k - t_{k-1}) \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{x}(t_{k-1}) + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \exp((t_k - s) \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{w}(s) ds$$

- The first term is of the form A x(t<sub>k-1</sub>), where the matrix is a known constant A = exp(Δt F).
- The second term is a zero mean Gaussian random variable and its covariance can be calculated as:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \exp((t_k - s) \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{Q}_c \, \exp((t_k - s) \mathbf{F})^T ds$$
$$= \int_0^{\Delta t} \exp((\Delta t - s) \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{Q}_c \, \exp((\Delta t - s) \mathbf{F})^T ds$$

# Solution of LTI SDE (cont. II)

 Thus the continuous-time system is in a sense equivalent to the discrete-time system

$$\mathbf{x}(t_k) = \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x}(t_{k-1}) + \mathbf{q}_k$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_k \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q})$  and

$$\mathbf{A} = \exp(\Delta t \mathbf{A})$$
$$\mathbf{Q} = \int_0^{\Delta t} \exp((\Delta t - s) \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{Q}_c \, \exp((\Delta t - s) \mathbf{F})^T ds$$

- An analogous equivalent discretization is also possible with time-varying linear stochastic differential equation models.
- A continuous-discrete Kalman filter can be always implemented as a discrete-time Kalman filter by forming the equivalent discrete-time system.

## Wiener Velocity Model

• For example, consider the Wiener velocity model (= white noise acceleration model):

$$d^2x/dt^2 = w(t),$$

which is equivalent to the state space model

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}$$

with  $\mathbf{F} = (0 \ 1; \ 0 \ 0), \mathbf{x} = (x, dx/dt), \mathbf{Q}_c = (0 \ 0; \ 0 \ q).$ • Then we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \exp(\Delta t \, \mathbf{F}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{Q} = \int_0^{\Delta t} \exp((\Delta t - s) \, \mathbf{F}) \, \mathbf{Q}_c \, \exp((\Delta t - s) \, \mathbf{F})^T ds$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t^3 / 3 \, q & \Delta t^2 / 2 \, q \\ \Delta t^2 / 2 \, q & \Delta t \, q \end{pmatrix}$$

which might look familiar.

# Mean and Covariance Differential Equations

• Note that in the linear (time-invariant) case

 $d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}$ 

we could also write down the differential equations

$$d\mathbf{m}/dt = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{m}$$
  
 $d\mathbf{P}/dt = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \, \mathbf{F}^T + \mathbf{Q}_c$ 

which exactly give the evolution of mean and covariance.

• The solutions of these equations are

$$\mathbf{m}(t) = \exp(t \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{m}_{0}$$
  

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \exp(t \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{P}_{0} \exp(t \mathbf{F})^{T}$$
  

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \exp((t - s) \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{Q}_{c} \exp((t - s) \mathbf{F})^{T} ds,$$

which are consistent with the previous results.

# Optimal Smoothing ...



... the topic of next week.

# **Optimal Control Theory**

 Assume that the physical system can be modeled with differential equation with input u

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$$

- Determine u(t) such that x(t) and u(t) satisfy certain constraints and minimize a cost functional.
- For example, steer a space craft to moon such that the consumed of fuel is minimized.
- If the system is linear and cost function quadratic, we get linear quadratic controller (or regulator).

# Stochastic (Optimal) Control Theory

• Assume that the system model is stochastic:

$$d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{w}(t)$$
  
 $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}(t_k)) + \mathbf{r}_k$ 

- Given only the measurements y<sub>k</sub>, find u(t) such that x(t) and u(t) satisfy the constraints and minimize a cost function.
- If linear Gaussian, we have Linear Quadratic (LQ) controller + Kalman filter = Linear Quadratic Gaussian (LQG) controller
- In general, not simply a combination of optimal filter and deterministic optimal controller.
- Model Predictive Control (MPC) is a well-known approximation algorithm for constrained problems.

 Infinite dimensional generalization of state space model is the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}(t,\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} = \mathscr{F}_r \, \mathbf{x}(t,\mathbf{r}) + \mathscr{L}_r \, \mathbf{w}(t,\mathbf{r}),$$

where  $\mathscr{F}_r$  and  $\mathscr{L}_r$  are linear operators (e.g. integro-differential operators) in **r**-variable and **w**( $\cdot$ ) is a time-space white noise.

- Practically every SPDE can be converted into this form with respect to any variable (which is relabeled as t).
- For example, stochastic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial x(t,r)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 x(t,r)}{\partial r^2} + w(t,r).$$

## Spatially Distributed Systems (cont.)

• The solution to the SPDE is analogous to finite-dimensional case:

$$\mathbf{x}(t,\mathbf{r}) = \mathscr{U}_r(t) \, \mathbf{x}(0,\mathbf{r}) + \int_0^t \mathscr{U}_r(t-s) \, \mathscr{L}_r \, \mathbf{w}(s,\mathbf{r}) \, ds.$$

- 𝔐<sub>r</sub>(t) = exp(t𝔐<sub>r</sub>) is the evolution operator corresponds to propagator in quantum mechanics.
- Spatio-temporal Gaussian process models can be naturally formulated as linear SPDE's.
- Recursive Bayesian estimation with SPDE models lead to infinite-dimensional Kalman filters and RTS smoothers.
- SPDE's can be approximated with finite models by usage of finite-differences or finite-element methods.

#### Summary

- Particle filters use weighted set of samples (particles) for approximating the filtering distributions.
- Sequential importance resampling (SIR) is the general framework and bootstrap filter is a simple special case of it.
- In Rao-Blackwellized particle filters a part of the state is sampled and part is integrated in closed form with Kalman filter.
- Other filtering algorithms than EKF, SLF, UKF and PF are, for example, multiple model Kalman filters and IMM algorithm.
- Specialized filtering algorithms exist also, e.g., for parameter estimation, outlier rejection and multiple target tracking.

- In continuous-discrete filtering, the dynamic model is a continuous-time process and measurement are obtained at discrete times.
- In continuous-discrete EKF, SLF and UKF the continuous-time non-linear dynamic model is approximated as a Gaussian process.
- In continuous-time filtering, the both the dynamic and measurements models are continuous-time processes.
- The theories of continuous and continuous-discrete filtering are tied to the theory of stochastic differential equations.